

RIO TINTO/ANTIPA – CITADEL PROJECT 2017 EXPLORATION PROGRAMME UPDATE

Highlights

- 2017 Citadel Project Exploration Programme fully funded by Rio Tinto Exploration Pty Ltd.
- Phase 2 Exploration programme Reverse Circulation (RC) drilling commenced to test selected targets, including the 3km x 2km Sundance target.
- New Calibre gold-copper-silver-tungsten deposit Mineral Resource estimation in progress.
- Rimfire region Air Core drilling programme completed and awaiting assays.
- Aerial Electromagnetic (AEM) survey final processed data received.

Antipa Minerals Ltd (“Antipa”) (ASX: **AZY**) is pleased to announce available results of the Citadel Project 2017 exploration programme, which is fully funded by Rio Tinto Exploration Pty Limited (“Rio Tinto”), a wholly owned subsidiary of Rio Tinto Limited.

The Phase 1 and Phase 2 funding is part of Rio Tinto’s \$8 million earn-in expenditure pursuant the second stage of the \$60 million Citadel Project farm-in agreement between Rio Tinto and Antipa. The Citadel Project is 80km from Newcrest’s world-class Telfer gold-copper-silver mine in the Paterson Province of Western Australia.

2017 Exploration Programme

The Citadel Project 2017 exploration programme has been divided into two phases with the Phase 1 geophysical surveys and the Rimfire region Air Core drilling programme both now completed. The Phase 2 RC programme is outlined below, subject to any changes which may be made consequent upon results, field conditions and ongoing review.

- Phase 2 – RC Drilling:
 - An RC drill programme involving up to 3,000m commenced on 1 November which is designed to test:
 - High priority Sundance drill target within the Rimfire mineral system (Figure 1):
 - The Sundance target is interpreted to be a possible skarn mineral system, with the 2016 reconnaissance drill results indicating that the Rimfire granite is a very large scale (up to 4.8km) copper, zinc, lead, gold, silver, tungsten bearing hydrothermal mineral system.
 - The Sundance magnetic skarn target is up to 3km x 2km in size.
 - 3D inversion modelling suggests the Sundance target is a predominantly flat lying body commencing from between 150 to 180m below the surface.

- Newcrest’s world-class O’Callaghans tungsten, zinc, lead and copper deposit is a skarn located 10km south of the Telfer mine and provides an encouraging Paterson Province deposit analogue.
 - A 500m diameter IP target situated immediately south of the existing Calibre deposit. This drilling will receive funding of up to \$150,000 by the Western Australian Government through its Exploration Incentive Scheme.
 - Several additional targets resulting from Phase 1.
- Phase 1 - Air Core drilling Programme:
 - Air Core drilling (4,132m) completed on the 18 October:
 - Tested key target areas within the greater Rimfire 4.8km copper mineral system including several adjacent targets identified from the aeromagnetics (Figure 1).
 - Significant areas of siliceous ferricrete (laterite) within the transported cover which could not be penetrated by Air Core resulted in completion of only 70% of the forecast programme.
 - Awaiting assays.
 - The Sundance area has been targeted for Phase 2 RC drill testing based on the aeromagnetics and did not form part of the Phase 1 Air Core drilling programme.
- Phase 1 - IP Survey:

The extensive IP survey which covered a 15km strike corridor of the Calibre structural trend from Calibre to south of Le Tigre as outlined in an ASX release “*Citadel Project Exploration Update*” on the 2 October. Key results are summarised below.

 - *Calibre and Magnum:*
 - Gradient Array IP (GAIP) survey covering an area of approximately 4km²:
 - Identified an untested possible 500m southwest extension to Calibre gold-copper-silver-tungsten mineralisation commencing from the southern side of the Calibre Mineral Resource (Figure 2). The Calibre deposit has a current drill defined strike extent of in excess of 1km and remains open in several directions (Figure 2).
 - Testing of this IP target will form part of the Phase 2 RC drilling programme this year as noted above.
 - Confirmed the Magnum North 2016 DDIP/PDIP target which represents untested possible extensions to the gold-copper-silver-tungsten mineralisation between 600 to 1,200m northwest of the Magnum Mineral Resource. The Magnum mineralisation has a current drill defined strike extent of in excess of 1km.
 - Evaluation ongoing.
 - *Blue Steel:*
 - Pole-Dipole IP (PDIP) survey involved two IP lines (5.1 line-km):
 - Closed off the IP chargeability anomaly which is approximately 1.5km in size, with 3D inversion modelling implying that target is greater than 500m below the surface and that existing (2016) drilling was ineffective in the testing the deep Blue Steel target.
 - Evaluation ongoing.

- Phase 1 - AEM Survey:
 - Aerial Electromagnetic (AEM) survey completed over the southwestern region of the Citadel Project (Figure 3):
 - New Resolution Geophysics' (NRG™) new generation Xcite™ helicopter-borne time-domain electromagnetic (HTDEM) system AEM survey:
 - Covered approximately 1,100 line-km and an area of 280km².
 - Final data received and processed.
 - No high-priority discrete basement electromagnetic conductivity targets identified.
 - Several areas of interest, supported by other exploration data (i.e. geological and/or geochemical and/or magnetics), identified.
 - Significant palaeo-channel present in survey area, which potentially obscures basement EM responses.
 - Evaluation ongoing.

Timing

The Citadel Project 2017 Phase 2 RC drilling programme is expected to be completed in three to four weeks. As usual, samples will be dispatched for assay on a periodic basis and announcements will be made periodically as assays are received.

For further information, please visit www.antipaminerals.com.au or contact:

Roger Mason
Managing Director
Antipa Minerals Ltd
+61 (0)8 9481 1103


Stephen Power
Executive Chairman
Antipa Minerals Ltd
+61 (0)8 9481 1103

MEDIA Contact: Tony Dawe
Citadel-MAGNUS
0405 989 743
tdawe@citadelmagnus.com

About Antipa Minerals:

Antipa Minerals Ltd is an Australian public company which was formed with the objective of identifying under-explored mineral projects in mineral provinces which have the potential to host world-class mineral deposits, thereby offering high leverage exploration potential. The Company owns a 1,335km² package of prospective granted tenements in the Paterson Province of Western Australia known as the Citadel Project. The Citadel Project is located approximately 75km north of Newcrest's Telfer gold-copper-silver mine and includes the gold-copper-silver-tungsten Mineral Resources at the Calibre and Magnum deposits and high-grade polymetallic Corker deposit. Under the terms of a Farm-in and Joint Venture Agreement with Rio Tinto Exploration Pty Limited ("Rio Tinto"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Rio Tinto Limited, Rio Tinto can fund up to \$60 million of exploration expenditure to earn up to a 75% interest in Antipa's Citadel Project.

The Company has an additional 1,310km² of granted exploration licences, known as the North Telfer Project which hosts the high-grade gold-copper Minyari and WACA deposits and extends its ground holding in the Paterson Province to within 20km of the Telfer Gold-Copper-Silver Mine and 30km of the O'Callaghans tungsten and base metal deposit. The Company has also acquired, from the Mark Creasy controlled company Kitchener Resources Pty Ltd, additional exploration licences in the Paterson Province which are now all granted and cover 1,573km² and the Company owns a further 138km² of exploration licences (including both granted tenements and applications), which combined are known as the Paterson Project, which comes to within 3km of the Telfer mine and 5km of the O'Callaghans deposit.



Competent Persons Statement:

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on and fairly represents information and supporting documentation compiled by Mr Roger Mason, a Competent Person who is a Member of The Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Mason is a full-time employee of the Company. Mr Mason is the Managing Director of Antipa Minerals Limited, is a substantial shareholder of the Company and is an option holder of the Company. Mr Mason has sufficient experience relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Mason consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to the estimation and reporting of the Calibre deposit Mineral Resource is extracted from the report entitled "*Calibre and Magnum Deposit Mineral Resource JORC 2012 Updates*" created on 23 February 2015 and are available to view on www.antipaminerals.com.au. The company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements. The company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

Various information in this report which relates to Citadel Project Exploration Results reported here is extracted from the following:

- Report entitled "*Rio Tinto – Antipa Citadel Project Joint Venture*" created on 9 October 2015;
- Report entitled "*Citadel Project IP Survey Identifies Multiple Chargeability Anomalies along 20km Calibre Trend*" created on 24 June 2016;
- Report entitled "*Citadel JV - Drilling Programme Update No. 2*" created on 16 January 2017;
- Report entitled "*Minyari Dome and Citadel – Phase 2 Update*" created on 9 February 2017;
- Report entitled "*Rio Tinto Elects to Proceed to Stage 2 of Citadel Farm-In*" created on 12 April 2017;
- Report entitled "*Citadel Project - Rio Tinto Funded 2017 Exploration Programme*" created on 12 April 2017;
- Report entitled "*Rio Tinto Elects to Proceed to Stage 2 of Citadel Farm-In*" created on 12 April 2017;
- Report entitled "*Citadel Project Phase 1 Exploration Programme Commences*" created on 13 June 2017; and
- Report entitled "*Citadel Project Exploration Update*" created on 2 October 2017.

Which are available to view on www.antipaminerals.com.au and www.asx.com.au. The company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcements.

Forward-Looking Statements:

This document may include forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning Antipa Mineral Ltd's planned exploration programme and other statements that are not historical facts. When used in this document, the words such as "could," "plan," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "may," "potential," "should," and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Although Antipa Minerals Ltd believes that its expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, such statements involve risks and uncertainties and no assurance can be given that actual results will be consistent with these forward-looking statements.




Figure 1: Sundance and Rimfire area showing magnetic anomalies, intercepts from 2016 widespread reconnaissance vertical RC drill holes and location of 2017 Air Core drill holes testing various geophysical and geochemical targets. Phase 2 RC drilling programme to test the Sundance skarn target and other Phase 1 targets.

NB: Airborne magnetic image (150m flight-line spacing at an altitude of 30m; Pseudo-colour Half Vertical Derivative, Reduced to Pole, northeast sun illumination) Regional GDA94 / MGA Zone 51 co-ordinates, 2km grid.




Figure 2: Calibre Deposit Plan view (top) and west looking Vertical Projection (bottom) showing drill hole gold grade distribution and limit of Calibre JORC Code (2012 Edition) Mineral Resource which covers approximately 300m of strike. Note that the northern high-grade gold mineralisation extends approximately 700m north of the resource boundary and the 2017 Gradient Array IP chargeability anomaly, associated with possible untested mineralisation, extends approximately 500m southwest of the resource boundary and will be tested during the Phase 2 RC drilling programme.

NB: Over Airborne magnetic image (150m flight-line spacing at an altitude of 30m; Reduced to Pole, NE-Sun illumination, First Vertical Derivative) 200m Calibre Local Grid.




Figure 3: Southwestern region of the Citadel Project showing the 2017 Phase 1 Aerial Electromagnetic survey area and magnetic anomalies including Sundance and Rimfire. “Hot colours” = magnetic highs.

NB: Airborne magnetic image = 150m flight-line spacing at an altitude of 30m; Pseudo-colour Total Magnetic Intensity, Reduced to Pole, northeast sun illumination. Regional GDA94 / MGA Zone 51 co-ordinates, 5km grid.

CITADEL PROJECT – 2017 Airborne Electromagnetic and Magnetic Survey:

JORC Code 2012 Edition: Table 1 - Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data (Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary																																																		
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">An Airborne Electromagnetic and Magnetic Survey was undertaken in 2017 by New Resolution Geophysics (NRG™), an independent geophysical contractor/service provider.The survey employed the following equipment and sampling techniques:<ul style="list-style-type: none">Survey Type = Time Domain Airborne Electromagnetics and Magnetics: <table><tr><td colspan="2">Electromagnetic System</td></tr><tr><td>Type</td><td>Xcite™</td></tr><tr><td>Weight</td><td>~450kg</td></tr><tr><td>Structure</td><td>Fully inflatable frame</td></tr><tr><td>Aircraft Type</td><td>AS350B Series</td></tr><tr><td>Engine Type</td><td>Turbine</td></tr><tr><td>Fuel Type</td><td>JetA1</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Acquisition System</td></tr><tr><td>Type</td><td>NRG RDAS II</td></tr><tr><td>CPU</td><td>Dual Core ARM 1.5Ghz</td></tr><tr><td>Operation Temperature</td><td>-10 to 65 Degrees C</td></tr><tr><td>Standard Sampling Rate</td><td>20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Magnetometer Counter</td></tr><tr><td>Type</td><td>NRG RDAC II</td></tr><tr><td>Internal System Noise</td><td><0.0001 nT</td></tr><tr><td>Adc Inputs</td><td>24</td></tr><tr><td>Magnetometer Inputs</td><td>4</td></tr><tr><td>Recording Rate</td><td>20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Magnetometer Sensor</td></tr><tr><td>Type</td><td>Single Sensor Scintrex CS3</td></tr><tr><td>Measurement Range</td><td>15 000 – 105 000 nT</td></tr><tr><td>Gradient Tolerance</td><td>40 000 nT/m</td></tr><tr><td>Operating Temperature</td><td>-40 to +50 Degrees C</td></tr><tr><td>Recording Rate</td><td>20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2"></td></tr></table>	Electromagnetic System		Type	Xcite™	Weight	~450kg	Structure	Fully inflatable frame	Aircraft Type	AS350B Series	Engine Type	Turbine	Fuel Type	JetA1	Acquisition System		Type	NRG RDAS II	CPU	Dual Core ARM 1.5Ghz	Operation Temperature	-10 to 65 Degrees C	Standard Sampling Rate	20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)	Magnetometer Counter		Type	NRG RDAC II	Internal System Noise	<0.0001 nT	Adc Inputs	24	Magnetometer Inputs	4	Recording Rate	20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)	Magnetometer Sensor		Type	Single Sensor Scintrex CS3	Measurement Range	15 000 – 105 000 nT	Gradient Tolerance	40 000 nT/m	Operating Temperature	-40 to +50 Degrees C	Recording Rate	20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)		
Electromagnetic System																																																				
Type	Xcite™																																																			
Weight	~450kg																																																			
Structure	Fully inflatable frame																																																			
Aircraft Type	AS350B Series																																																			
Engine Type	Turbine																																																			
Fuel Type	JetA1																																																			
Acquisition System																																																				
Type	NRG RDAS II																																																			
CPU	Dual Core ARM 1.5Ghz																																																			
Operation Temperature	-10 to 65 Degrees C																																																			
Standard Sampling Rate	20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)																																																			
Magnetometer Counter																																																				
Type	NRG RDAC II																																																			
Internal System Noise	<0.0001 nT																																																			
Adc Inputs	24																																																			
Magnetometer Inputs	4																																																			
Recording Rate	20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)																																																			
Magnetometer Sensor																																																				
Type	Single Sensor Scintrex CS3																																																			
Measurement Range	15 000 – 105 000 nT																																																			
Gradient Tolerance	40 000 nT/m																																																			
Operating Temperature	-40 to +50 Degrees C																																																			
Recording Rate	20 Hz (capable of >1kHz)																																																			

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		Xcite Geometry <hr/> Rx -Bird GPS <hr/> Horizontal offset [m] (GPS in front of Rx) 9.2 <hr/> Vertical offset [m] (GPS higher than Rx) 0.5 <hr/> Helicopter - Mag Bird <hr/> Effective tow rope length in flight [m] 10 <hr/> Tow rope angle with horizontal [deg] 60 <hr/> Tow rope vertical [m] 8.66 <hr/> Tow rope horizontal [m] 5.00 <hr/> Helicopter - Receiver <hr/> Effective tow rope length in flight [m] 28 <hr/> Tow rope angle with horizontal [deg] 60 <hr/> Tow rope vertical [m] 24.25 <hr/> Tow rope horizontal [m] 14.00 <hr/> Receiver (Z-component) <hr/> Diameter [m] 1.00 <hr/> Area [m^2] 0.79 <hr/> Turns 100.00 <hr/> Effective Area [m^2] 78.54 <hr/> Receiver (X-component) <hr/> Diameter [m] Not Round <hr/> Area [m^2] 0.13 <hr/> Turns 200.00 <hr/> Effective Area [m^2] 26.39 <hr/> Bucking Coil <hr/> Diameter [m] 3.2 <hr/> Area [m^2] 8.0 <hr/> Turns 1.0 <hr/> Effective Area [m^2] 8.0 <hr/> Transmitter <hr/> Diameter [m] 18.4

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary												
		<table><tr><td>Area [m^2]</td><td>265.9</td></tr><tr><td>Turns</td><td>4.0</td></tr><tr><td>Effective Area [m^2]</td><td>1063.6</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">Transmitter-Receiver</td></tr><tr><td>Horizontal offset of centre [m]</td><td>0</td></tr><tr><td>Vertical offset of centre [m] (Tx below Rx)</td><td>0.5</td></tr></table> <ul style="list-style-type: none">This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results.	Area [m^2]	265.9	Turns	4.0	Effective Area [m^2]	1063.6	Transmitter-Receiver		Horizontal offset of centre [m]	0	Vertical offset of centre [m] (Tx below Rx)	0.5
Area [m^2]	265.9													
Turns	4.0													
Effective Area [m^2]	1063.6													
Transmitter-Receiver														
Horizontal offset of centre [m]	0													
Vertical offset of centre [m] (Tx below Rx)	0.5													
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results.												
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results.												
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results.												
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the	<ul style="list-style-type: none">This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results.												

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>material being sampled.</i>	
<i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Airborne Electromagnetic and Magnetic Survey was undertaken by New Resolution Geophysics (NRG™), an independent geophysical contractor/service provider. The survey involved acquisition of airborne data at 300m line spacing, 60 degrees clockwise heading from north. A total of 1,150 line-km was completed during the survey. A nominal survey altitude of 37m (Tx-Rx array) and 61m (helicopter) was employed which was dependent on safety considerations and tree canopy height. A minimum line length of 3km was utilized for the flight path. <p>Review of the data can be summarised by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data quality was considered to be of high quality. The pilot was of high Calibre with impressive line and height following. No gaps “drop outs” were observed in any of the database fields. Filtering of Raw data was minimal and very close to the final product. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results, sampling, assays or mineralisation.
<i>Verification of sampling and assaying</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results, sampling, assays or mineralisation.
<i>Location of data points</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> km = kilometre; m = metre; mm = millimetre. Novatel DL-V3L1L2 with real time differential correction (12 satellites), 20 Hz recording rate was used for GPS positioning. The EM survey coordinates are in WGS84 UTM zone 51S coordinates. This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results.
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The survey involved acquisition of airborne data at 300m line spacing, 60 degree heading from North. This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results, sampling, assays or mineralisation.
<i>Orientation of data in relation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results, sampling, assays or mineralisation.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>to geological structure</i>	<i>orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i>	
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results, sampling, assays or mineralisation.
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All digital Airborne Electromagnetic and Magnetic data was subjected to rigorous auditing and vetting by the independent geophysical contractor/service provider and data manager NRG™.

CITADEL PROJECT AREA

Section 2 – Reporting of Exploration Results (Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Airborne Electromagnetic and Magnetic survey is located within Exploration License E45/4561. Antipa currently has a 100% interest in all Citadel Project tenements, including E45/4561, and there are no royalties on these tenements. On 9 October 2015 Farm-in and JV Agreements were executed between Antipa and Rio Tinto Exploration Pty Limited (Rio Tinto). E45/2876, E45/2877 and E45/4561 are contained completely within land where the Martu People have been determined to hold Native Title rights. No historical or environmentally sensitive sites have been identified in the area of work. E45/2874, E45/2901, E45/4212, E45/4213 and E45/4214 are contained completely within land where the Nyangumarta People have been determined to hold Native Title rights. No historical or environmentally sensitive sites have been identified in the area of work. The tenements are all in 'good standing' with the Western Australian DMIRS. There are no known impediments exist, including to obtain a licence to operate in the area.
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prior to 1991 limited to no mineral exploration activities. 1991 to 1996 BHP Australia completed various regional airborne geophysical surveys (e.g. aeromagnetics, radiometrics, GeoTEM, ground magnetics, surface EM), geochemical Aircore and selected diamond drilling programmes across a significant area which covered the Citadel Project. Whilst this era of exploration highlighted a number of areas as being variously anomalous, BHP did not locate any basement (Proterozoic) precious or base metal mineralisation. In 1995 BHP Minerals completed an MMI-A/MMI-B soil programme over an area which was ultimately found to be the region within which the Magnum deposit was located. 1997 to 2002 JV partners Croesus-Gindalbie completed minor surface geophysical surveys (e.g. electromagnetics) and various drilling programmes across parts of the Citadel Project (i.e. 17 x Diamond, 10 x RC and 134 x Aircore drillholes) leading to the discovery of the Magnum Au-Cu-Ag deposit, and its partial delineation, in 1998.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2002 to 2003 JV partners Teck Cominco and Croesus-Gindalbie completed detailed aeromagnetic and radiometric surveys over the entire Citadel Project, Pole-Pole IP over 8 targets and limited drilling (i.e. 4 x Diamond drillholes) within the Citadel Project. 2004 to 2005 JV partners NGM Resources and Croesus-Gindalbie completed limited drilling (i.e. 3 x Diamond drillholes) at selected Citadel Project prospects intersecting minor Au-Cu-Ag mineralisation at the Colt prospect. 2006 to 2010 Glengarry Resources/Centaurus Metals undertook re-processing of existing data and re-logging of some drillcore. No drilling or geophysical surveys were undertaken and so no new exploration results were forthcoming. 2011 to 2015 Antipa Minerals Ltd exploration of the Citadel Project including both regional and prospect/area scale geophysical surveys (i.e. VTEM, ground EM, DHEM, ground magnetics and ground gravity) and geochemical surveys (i.e. MMI-M™ and SGH™ soil programmes) and drilling programmes (i.e. diamond and RC) resulting in two greenfield discoveries in 2012, i.e. Calibre and Corker, and subsequent drilling programmes. October 2015 to March 2017 Antipa Minerals Ltd operators under a Farm-in Agreement executed on the 9 October 2015 between Antipa and Rio Tinto Exploration Pty Limited ("Rio Tinto"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Rio Tinto Limited. RC drilling at Calibre late 2015, and in 2016 an extensive IP survey, a regional target RC drilling programme and single (deep) diamond drill hole were completed. April 2017 (ongoing) Rio Tinto operators under the Farm-in Agreement (see above). To date during 2017, a further extensive IP survey in the southeastern portion of E45/2877, an Air Core drilling Programme in the central region (Rimfire area) of E45/2876 and an aerial electromagnetic survey primarily over E45/4561 have been completed. 2017 exploration activities are ongoing, including an RC drilling programme.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The geological setting is Paterson Province Proterozoic aged meta-sediment hosted hydrothermal shear, fault and strata/contact controlled precious and/or base metal mineralisation which is typically sulphide bearing. The mineralisation in the region is interpreted to be granite related. The Paterson is a low grade metamorphic terrane but local hydrothermal alteration and/or contact metamorphic mineral assemblages and styles are indicative of a high-temperature local environment. Mineralisation styles include vein, stockwork, breccia and skarns.
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> hole length. If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results, sampling, assays or mineralisation.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results, sampling, assays or mineralisation.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All appropriate maps (with scales) and tabulations of survey parameters are reported. This release has no reference to previously unreported drill results, sampling, assays or mineralisation.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Company believes that the ASX announcement is a balanced report with all material results reported. Additional significant results can be found in previous public reports.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This announcement refers to previous exploration results including geophysics, drill results and geology which can be found in previous public reports.
Further work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling). Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> At this stage, it is envisaged that the Airborne EM anomalies identified by the 2017 Airborne Electromagnetic and Magnetic survey will be the subject of further investigation and evaluation via a Reverse-circulation (RC) drilling program the exact nature and scale of which is currently being determined.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Relevant diagrams can be found in the attached report or in previous public reports.